

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/680,379	10/06/2003	Hagen Klauk	MUH-12807	5870
		7590 12/18/2006 ENBERG STEMER LI	EXAMINER		
	P O BOX 2480			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
	HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1756	
			NAME DAME		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				12/18/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/680,379	KLAUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756	

•	Lyaninie	Artonic						
	Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add								
 THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	,					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two mon	ths of the date					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	had a second and a second as a	£ 90 41 4 11						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			because					
(a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	M will not be entered or b) D w	ill he entered and an	evalanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	ili be eritered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-24</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will r	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
3. Other:								
Man & Tilla / H								
	MADU E INST	dcd						
	MARK E. HUFF							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly filed amendment to claims 1, and 9, "restoring original electrical conductivity in unexposed regions" requires further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the final rejection (see argument A). Furthermore, the arguments are directed to the unentered amendment.